charges should be. I really don't consider what law enforcement officers have done. Most of them have a high school education -- God bless them -- and not a legal education. And so many of my cases were charged up, charged down and charged sideways but not charged as the officers did. So it was not my practice to look at that.

Reading the text of the offense report in this particular case, the facts were overwhelming.

And when we went to the bond hearing on this particular case, I had actually put in my Motion for Pretrial Detention the fact that, giving Judge Green the benefit of the doubt, he didn't have all the information.

Well, the defense attorney immediately corrected me on that and said he did and pointed out to me, you know, that anyone reading this offense report would know exactly what these charges are. And I guess it just kind of became ingrained with me. And to be quite honest about it, until it was pointed out to me that those were not the actual charges, I just didn't even think of it. It was just a total oversight on my part.

JUDGE WOLF: How about the Alsdorph case where it says that it was a false statement that he

had been released into the community when in fact the defendant had not been released into the community?

JUDGE KINSEY: I don't really know how to respond to that, other than to say that he came in on no bond; a circuit judge had reviewed the warrant application, had found probable cause, set a no-bond on it. Judge Green said, "You're entitled to bond. You will get bond. How much money do you have?" and set a bond that he could make.

He was not released into the community, thanks to the quick actions of the court security officer in getting the state attorney's office alerted and also the investigating officer so that we could get it back in front of the circuit judge to whom the case had been assigned and get the bond revoked before he could get out.

Now, there was a matter of time between the first appearance and the time that we could get -- not we -- that the state attorney's office could get the bond hearing held. But he was not going to be in the community; he was being held on no-bond until such time as Judge Green gave him a bond that he could make.

JUDGE WOLF: Okay. Going back to 7, one

last question. At the time the case came in front
of Judge Green, was it clear that he had the offense
reports, or was it just a probable-cause affidavit?

Do we know it was specifically in front of him at
the time?

JUDGE KINSEY: Yes, we do. In fact, he testified at a hearing in December regarding this issue and said that, I believe, he did have the offense report. See, what I get as a prosecutor is the package the judge gets. It's literally stapled together with his probable-cause finding. There's a form that we sign that says we find probable cause, that he's had his hearing within the appropriate amount of time, and that this is what his bond will be; it remains the same, or I'm changing it to X, whatever that might be. And the package that I got is the identical package that Judge Green had; no additions, no subtractions.

JUDGE WOLF: I don't have anything further at this time.

JUDGE KINSEY: I did talk to the victim that same day. Within a matter of hours I was talking with the victim and got additional information. But we worked from the same package.

THE CHAIR: Mr. Sanders?

MR. SANDERS: Judge Kinsey, I just want to follow up on what Judge Wolf just asked you and to some degree on what Mr. Nachwalter asked you.

JUDGE KINSEY: Yes, sir.

MR. SANDERS: I'm looking at what has been provided to us as at least off-prints of some of your campaign literature.

JUDGE KINSEY: Yes, sir.

MR. SANDERS: Mr. Kinsey had indicated that he had seen, I guess upside down, what we were looking at, and it looked familiar to him. You indicated in response to a question by Judge Wolf with regard to Charge No. 1 about the quote that you would support your hard-working law enforcement and that you would take their testimony seriously that that was taken out of context. And I'm looking at the entire context of your brochure.

JUDGE KINSEY: Uh-huh.

MR. SANDERS: And I don't understand where that quote was taken out of context. What else was in that brochure that makes the quote in this charging document incorrect?

JUDGE KINSEY: As I recall -- and I think my husband read out that paragraph when he was giving his statement -- the paragraph talks about

the fact that these guys are out there risking their lives and then they come into the courtroom -- and again I'm bringing in our feelings and our thoughts in putting that in the brochure -- they come into the courtroom and Judge Green will not listen to their testimony; he's not interested in their testimony.

My comment is that I will take it seriously; not that I will believe it above all else, but that these guys are risking their lives for us, they're out there protecting us; I'm going to take their testimony seriously.

MR. SANDERS: Well, do you think that might have given the public the impression that you would take their testimony perhaps more seriously than a non-police officer who was testifying? Do you think that impression is one that could fairly have been taken by someone?

JUDGE KINSEY: I'm sure there are people who could take it that way, but that's not the intent of the statement. If I had wanted to say that I will take them above everyone else's testimony, I would have said it that way.

MR. SANDERS: Well, you also indicated that you felt that this whole court system was a

team concept and you said "including police officers, judges, defense lawyers, defendants" but you don't seem to include the rest of the team in your literature. It's just the police officers that you keep referring to, not the rest of the team.

JUDGE KINSEY: And, you know, this is part of what happens during a campaign. You start out with this brochure that's got writing all over it, and it gets smaller, and it gets smaller, and it gets smaller. All I can say is that it got condensed down to that.

You have professionals helping you who are saying, "If you put more text in this, no one's going to read it."

MR. SANDERS: And the last --

JUDGE KINSEY: I guess the best of intents sometimes get down to what maybe some people might misconstrue. But certainly it doesn't say that I will take them above the testimony of anyone else, just that I will give their testimony serious consideration.

MR. SANDERS: And the last question is a follow-up on what Mr. Nachwalter had asked you earlier about this statement that police officers unanimously supported your candidacy. And

Mr. Kinsey indicated that that was intended to mean that the organization, the PBA and the FOP, had supported your candidacy, not necessarily each individual police officer. Is that what your understanding was of the intent?

JUDGE KINSEY: Yes, it is. And let me elaborate on that just a little bit. What happened was the FOP lodges, of which there are two in our area, and the PBA has one lodge in our area, and virtually every law enforcement officer belongs to one or the other of those three different organizations -- they interviewed the candidates, and then they put it up to a vote.

I know that the two FOP lodges put it out to a vote of their entire membership. And I asked if anybody objected to me. I mean, I wanted to know. I'm new to this campaigning business, and to me it was kind of personal, and I wanted to know if anybody didn't like me, and I wanted to go talk to them and find out why.

MR. SANDERS: Well --

JUDGE KINSEY: And to the best of my knowledge, only one person responded and said that they felt it was inappropriate to endorse me. And what they said -- and this was in the FOP lodge from

the sheriff's department -- that person said that he didn't think that the FOP lodge should be involved in politics, but he had no objection to me personally. But he didn't think they should be allowed to do that.

And every time we went to the campaign stops -- and it was always Bill Green and me going all over town very many times a week, sometimes meeting almost every day somewhere or our teams meeting somewhere -- it was always the same thing: they would always tell me that all three organizations that represent virtually every law enforcement officer in Escambia County have endorsed me. And there's a reason for that. They work with the court system every day. Ask them.

And that was kind of our campaign slogan.

MR. SANDERS: Thank you.

THE CHAIR: Judge Freeman?

JUDGE FREEMAN: No.

MR. NACHWALTER: Just one or two. Would it be a fair statement, Judge, to say the message you were trying to get out is, "I'm tough on crime, and he's not"?

JUDGE KINSEY: It would definitely be fair to say that I felt as a prosecutor that he didn't do

....X